# Impact Analysis Report/ RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0159** (RTC-56604) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM456131 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | ITSM3 OPERATIONS.SERVICE DESK L1 |
| **CI** | **NCTS - P5 (DDNTA-** **v5.14.1-v1.00– CSE-v51.60)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5: (DDNTA-v5.14.1 - CSE-v51.6.0): Update R0910** |
| As per incident IM456131, raised by ITSM3 OPERATIONS.SERVICE DESK L1, a request due to R0910 in case of simplified procedure has been raised. More specifically, based on the current wording of R0910, in case of standard customs declaration (/TransitOperation/additionalDeclarationType is EQUAL to 'A'), simplified procedure is not allowed. The above statement is misleading since there is no conflict to have Simplified Procedure and Pre-Lodged Declaration. As a result, R0910 shall be updated. |

**Section 2: Problem Statement**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| In the version of the DDNTA-v5.14.1 (based on CSE-v51.60), the R0910 is assigned on messages CD001C, CD003C, CC029C, CD038C, CD160C, CD050C, CD115C and CD165C, on the Data Item ‘CONTROL RESULT. Code’ as presented below:    R0910 is assigned on the Data Item ‘CONTROL RESULT. Code’. According to Q2 the wording of the rule presented below:    CL195 – ‘ControlResultCodeDepartureSimplifiedExcluded’ has the below values:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Entry Name** | **Entry Name** |  | | A1 | Satisfactory |  | | A2 | Considered satisfactory |  |   CL196 – ‘ControlResultCodeDeparture’ is assigned on the Data Item ‘CONTROL RESULT. Code’. The values of the code list presented below:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Entry Name** | **Entry Description** | | A1 | Satisfactory | | A2 | Considered satisfactory | |  |  | | A3 | Simplified procedure |   The business explanation of values A1, A2, A3 is presented below:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | ‘A1’(Satisfactory) | In case of Simplified or Normal Procedure, where the goods are released for transit after their documentary and/or physical control (full or partial) and no irregularities were detected | | ‘A2’ (Considered satisfactory) | In case of Simplified or Normal Procedure, where the goods are released for transit without any control | | ‘A3’ (Simplified procedure) | In case of Simplified Procedure only, where the goods are released for transit by **an authorized consignor**. No documentary or physical control executed by **Customs Office of Departure** |   G0126 is assigned on the Data Item ‘CONTROL RESULT. Code’. According to Q2 the wording of the guideline presented below:    Simplified procedure is the case when a transit declaration is submitted with value ‘C521’ in the Data Item <AUTHORISATION.Type> of the ‘Declaration Data’ in CC015C. The value ‘C521’ means ‘ACR - Authorisation for the status of authorised consignor for Union transit’.  According to the wording of R0910 in case the Data Item ‘Additional Declaration Type’ is equal to ‘A’, the ‘Control Result. Code’ should be included in CL195.  This approach is incorrect based on the other statements above since there is no conflict to have Simplified Procedure and Pre-Lodged Declaration.  The only prerequisite in case of simplified procedure is a vide authorization with <AUTHORISATION.Type> is EQUAL to 'C521'.  The values of the ‘Additional Declaration Type’ are presented in CL042-‘DeclarationTypeAdditional’ as follows:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Entry Name** | **Entry Description** | | A | For a standard customs declaration (under Article 162 of the Code) | | D | For lodging a standard customs declaration (such as referred to under code A) in accordance with Article 171 of the Code. |   Simplified procedure is valid for both additional declaration types.  As a result, the wording of R0910 should be updated. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-v5.14.1 (incl. Appendix Q2) and the on CSE-v51.6.0** shall be corrected as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow**, removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~):  **R0910** will be updated as follows:  Technical Description:  ~~IF /CC013C/TransitOperation/additionalDeclarationType is EQUAL to 'A' OR~~  ~~/CC015C/TransitOperation/additionalDeclarationType is EQUAL to 'A'~~  IF /CC013C/Authorisation/type is NOT EQUAL to 'C521' OR  /CC015C/Authorisation/type is NOT EQUAL to 'C521'  THEN /\*/ControlResult/code is in SET CL195  Functional Description:  ~~IF <CC013C-TRANSIT OPERATION.Additional declaration type> is EQUAL to 'A' OR <CC015CTRANSIT~~  ~~OPERATION.Additional declaration type> is EQUAL to 'A'~~  IF <CC013C - AUTHORISATION.Type> is NOT EQUAL to 'C521' OR <CC015C - AUTHORISATION.Type> is NOT EQUAL to 'C521'  THEN <CONTROL RESULT.Code> is in SET CL195  (ControlResultCodeDepartureSimplifiedExcluded)  NCTS-Data Mapping- v0.43 file: No impact  Impacted R&C:   * R0910     **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC proposal concerns changes at sematic level in Common Domain messages.  It is considered that the change proposed via the current IAR has impact on business continuity and therefore shall be deployed in a **Big Bang approach**. More specifically:    **Changes at semantic level**  The changes at the semantic level, namely concern the update in the wording of Rule R0910 applicable in common domain messages.    *With respect to the new Rule R0910:*   * If the sender is aligned with the proposed changes (DDNTA 5.15.0),and the receiver is not aligned with the proposed changes (DDNTA 5.14.1), then a semantic error shall be caused (IE906) due to the violation of R0910 in case the <Additional Declaration Type> is EQUAL to ‘A’, and the /\*/ControlResult/code takes the value ‘A3’ from CL196. * If the sender is not aligned with the proposed changes (DDNTA 5.14.1), and the receiver is aligned with the proposed changes (DDNTA 5.15.0), then no semantic error shall be caused as the sender could send /\*/ControlResult/code with value ‘A1’ or ‘A2’ in case the Additional Declaration Type is EQUAL to 'A' or /\*/ControlResult/code with value ‘A1’, ‘A2’ or ‘A3’ in case the Additional Declaration Type is EQUAL to 'D'. As a result, no issue regarding the value ‘C521’ is identified.   **Movement initiated under the previous DDNTA (5.14.1) release which continues its flow under the new DDNTA (5.15.0) release (open movement):**  No issue is identified if a movement was initiated under the previous DDNTA (DDNTA 5.14.1) release and continues its flow under the new DDNTA (DDNTA 5.15.0) release (open movement), since the movement will include /\*/ControlResult/code with value ‘A1’ or ‘A2’ in case the Additional Declaration Type is EQUAL to 'A' or /\*/ControlResult/code with value ‘A1’, ‘A2’ or ‘A3’ in case the Additional Declaration Type is EQUAL to 'D'.  **Changes at syntactic level:** N/A    **Risk of not implementing the change**: In case of not implementing this change, rejections can possibly occur in common domain messages due to the validation failure of R0910.    **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   1.12.2022  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  January 2022  **Impact on transition**: No  **Risk of not implementing the change:** Yes    **New Rules:**   * R0910   **Impacted CIs:**     * **CSE-v51.6.0: Yes;** * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00; (Appendix Q2\_R\_C PDFs): Yes;** * **DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): Yes;** * **NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: Yes;** * **NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: Yes;** * **ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: Yes;** * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * CRP-v5.5-v1.00: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM): No; * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No; * DDCOM v20.3.0-v1.00: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts2**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High   |  | | --- | | Update of:   * DDNTA\_APP\_Q2 R\_C * DDNTA\_APP\_Q2 | |
| **CSE-v51.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | Updates as described in section 3. | |
| **DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Rules and Conditions\_v0.43 file to be updated** | |
| **TRP-5.7.5** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | Alignment of messages according to the updates of specifications. | |
| **CRP-v5.5-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | A new version of CRP will be published due to the updates of its components. | |
| **CTP-5.7.0-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | Alignment of scenarios according to the updates of specifications. | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | The RFC proposal concerns changes at sematic level in Common Domain messages.  It is considered that the change proposed via the current IAR has impact on business continuity and therefore shall be deployed in a Big Bang approach. | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 19/11/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | Updates by CUSTDEV | 15/12/2021 | *Version Update* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | SfA to NPMs |